

Public Attitude Related To Buck Limits and Tagging Programs

Executive Summary - November 2015

Introduction

S.454 was filed on SCDNR's behalf in February of 2015. The bill passed the Senate in March and currently resides in the House where it may be debated when the legislative session reconvenes in January, 2016. A summary of the bill along with information related to the background and data on the legislation can be found in Appendix 1. At the request of the House Agriculture, Natural Resources, and Environment Affairs Committee SCDNR scheduled and conducted 7 public meetings in September and October of 2015 to gather additional public attitude data related to S.454. Three of the meetings were held in Game Zones 1 and 2 (piedmont/mountains) and 4 meetings were held in Game Zones 3 and 4 (coastal plain).

Public Notification

SCDNR produced a statewide news release advertising the meetings that was distributed to the media and posted on the agency website on August 27, 2015. An e-mail advertising the meetings was sent on September 14, 2015 to 183,299 individuals for which the agency had e-mail addresses. In order to accommodate individuals who could not attend one of the meetings, a "virtual meeting" which included the SCDNR presentation and survey was posted on the SCDNR website on October 14, 2015. An e-mail notification was sent directly to 32,405 individuals who had active hunting licenses for which e-mail addresses were available.

Description of the Meetings

Each meeting began with an introduction of legislators and a presentation by SCDNR staff on the background and data related to the proposal. Following the staff presentation significant time was devoted to public comments and questions. At the end of each meeting attendees were asked for a show of hands related to a number of questions and were given a written survey with the same questions, the results of which follow in this report. On duty SCDNR employees did not participate in the survey at any meetings.

Meeting Results- (See Appendix 2 & 3 for survey results spreadsheets)

- Attendance at the meetings totaled 685 with an average of approximately 98 people. Approximately 33 percent of attendance occurred in meetings held in Game Zones 1 and 2 (piedmont/mountains) and approximately 67 percent of attendance occurred at meetings held in Game Zones 3 and 4 (coastal plain).
- Approximately 76 percent of the meeting attendees supported both a limit on antlered bucks and a tagging system for deer in SC.
- As it relates to the current legislation, approximately 63 percent of attendees supported the 4 buck limit proposed in S.454 with an additional 13 percent indicating that they did not support the limit because it was too high.
- As it relates to the current legislation, approximately 69 percent of attendees supported the 4 antlerless deer limit proposed in S.454 with an additional 12 percent indicating they did not support the 4 doe limit because it was too high.
- As it relates to resident fees, 69 percent of attendees supported the \$15 fee for 4 buck and 4 doe tags that is included in the legislation with an additional 8 percent indicating they did not support the proposed \$15 fee because it was too low.

- As it relates to nonresident fees in the legislation, 45 percent of attendees supported the nonresident fee of \$30 for the first tag and \$10 for each tag thereafter up to the 4 buck and 4 doe limit. An additional 47 percent of attendees indicated they did not support the proposed nonresident fee because it was too low.
- Although not part of the current legislation, meeting attendees were questioned on their support for a free electronic big game reporting system in SC. This type of reporting system would provide harvest data and a confirmation or registration number for enforcement in addition to tagging. Statewide 78 percent of attendees supported a free electronic reporting system in the future.


In general, concerns from hunters who supported the proposal included the following: (1) not seeing deer like they did in the past, (2) difficult to have good management program on my property or club because neighboring hunters kill every buck they can, (3) no one needs to kill more than a few bucks each year, (4) exploiting young bucks leaves no bucks to mature, (5) must have a good way to enforce limits, (6) support antler restrictions in addition to limit.

In general, concerns from hunters who did not support the proposal included the following: (1) DNR has bad data because no one really wants a limit, (2) DNR has bad data because nothing has changed with the deer population, (3) the Deer Depredation Program needs better management, (4) limits could not be enforced so why have a limit, (5) don't want to be told what to do with deer, (6) favor antler restrictions rather than limits.


Internet "Virtual Meeting" Survey Results- (See Appendix 4 for survey results spreadsheets)

- Participants in the internet survey totaled 4,556. Approximately 39 percent resided in Game Zones 1 and 2 (piedmont/mountains) and approximately 61 percent resided in Game Zones 3 and 4 (coastal plain).
- Approximately 83 percent of respondents supported a limit on antlered bucks in SC.
- Approximately 81 percent of respondents supported a tagging system for deer in SC.
- As it relates to the current legislation, approximately 76 percent of respondents supported the 4 buck limit included in S.454 with an additional 6 percent indicating that they did not support the limit because it was too high.
- As it relates to the current legislation, approximately 79 percent of respondents supported the 4 antlerless deer limit included in S.454 with an additional 4 percent indicating they did not support the 4 doe limit because it was too high.
- As it relates to resident fees, 71 percent of respondents supported the \$15 fee for 4 buck and 4 doe tags that is included in the legislation with an additional 3 percent indicating they did not support the proposed \$15 fee because it was too low.
- As it relates to nonresident fees, 66 percent of respondents supported the nonresident fee of \$30 for the first tag and \$10 for each tag thereafter up to the 4 buck and 4 doe limit. Forty one percent (41%) of respondents who indicated they did not support the proposed nonresident fee opposed it because it was too low.


Do you support or oppose a limit on antlered deer in SC?




Do you support or oppose a tagging system for deer in SC?




Do you support or oppose the 4 buck limit proposed by the legislation?




Why do you oppose the proposed 4 buck limit?




Do you support or oppose the 4 doe limit proposed by the legislation?




Why do you oppose the proposed 4 doe limit?




Do you support or oppose the resident fee of \$15 for 4 buck and 4 doe tags?




Why do you oppose the \$15 resident fee for 4 buck and 4 doe tags?




Do you support or oppose the nonresident fee of \$30 for the first tag and \$10 for each tag thereafter?



Why do you oppose the \$15 nonresident fee of \$30 for the first tag and \$10 for each tag thereafter?




Do you support or oppose a free electronic reporting system for deer in SC?




Internet “Virtual Meeting” Results – 4,556 Participants


Do you support or oppose a limit on antlered deer in SC?




Do you support or oppose a tagging system for deer in SC?




Do you support or oppose the 4 buck limit proposed by the legislation?




Why do you oppose the proposed 4 buck limit?




Do you support or oppose the 4 doe limit proposed by the legislation?




Why do you oppose the proposed 4 doe limit?




Do you support or oppose the resident fee of \$15 for 4 buck and 4 doe tags?




Why do you oppose the \$15 resident fee for 4 buck and 4 doe tags?



Do you support or oppose the nonresident fee of \$30 for the first tag and \$10 for each tag thereafter?



Why do you oppose the \$15 nonresident fee of \$30 for the first tag and \$10 for each tag thereafter?



Appendix 1

Deer Management Bill S.454 Overview

- Provides for an annual limit of 4 antlered bucks per hunter.
 - Provides for an annual limit of 4 antlerless deer per hunter. SCDNR maintains authority to modify antlerless deer limits as needed to meet future management goals.
 - Hunters would be provided with a set of 4 buck tags and 4 doe (antlerless) tags.
 - Tagging required for all deer harvested. Doe days are eliminated to avoid having no untagged deer, however, all hunters have 4 doe tags that are valid every day starting September 15.
 - Fees for hunter based tags are to offset the loss of revenue from the Individual Antlerless Deer Tag Program under which hunters currently pay \$5 per tag. Hunters will now receive 4 buck tags and 4 doe tags for \$15 for residents and \$30 for nonresidents. (Note. The bill was amended to charge nonresidents \$30 for the first tag and \$10 per tag thereafter.)
 - The private land Antlerless Deer Quota Program (ADQP) under which antlerless tags have historically been issued to properties/clubs is modified to include the issuance of quota tags for antlered bucks in addition to antlerless deer. Program name is changed to Deer Quota Program (DQP). Quotas for bucks will be set based on the size of the property and the recreational, agricultural, and deer management goals of the participant just as antlerless (doe) quotas have always been set. Participants have always been required to report their buck harvest along with their doe harvest. Therefore, figures are already available at the statewide, county, and per unit area level to set justifiable buck quotas. This change in the program was requested by a number of current participants. The 4 buck and 4 doe limit per hunter would not apply on DQP properties because the limit is on the property not the hunter. Hunters who hunt exclusively on DQP properties do not need the individual tags discussed above.
- **Background - Deer Management Issues**
 - White-tailed deer are the official state animal and the most important game species in SC. Yet, there has never been an enforceable limit on the number of bucks a hunter can take during the season.
 - Although there is a 5-buck limit prescribed by law in the two Upstate Game Zones, these limits have never been enforceable. In the four coastal plain Game Zones state law specifies there is "no limit" on antlered deer. This has never been a function of DNR but rather history, tradition, and politics.
 - This lack of a reasonable bag limit on bucks is in stark contrast to the approach in other states and to the approach with other fish and game species in SC which typically have bag limits.
 - Limits in other southeastern states include; Alabama 3 (one has antler restrictions), Arkansas 2 (all have antler restrictions), Georgia 2 (one has antler restrictions), Kentucky 1, Louisiana 3, Mississippi 3 (all have antler restrictions), Missouri 2 (1

with firearms), North Carolina 4 (2 outside of eastern dog zone), Oklahoma 2 (1 with firearms), Tennessee 3, Texas varies by zone 1, 2, or 3, Virginia 3 (2 outside of eastern dog zone).

- Since 2000, an average of only 7 percent of hunters take more than 4 bucks annually, however, this 7 percent of hunters take 30 percent of all the bucks in the state annually. Hunters who take more than 4 bucks annually take 78 percent more bucks than does, whereas, hunters who take less than 4 bucks annually take bucks and does at about the same rate. Two different philosophies.
- Excluding South Carolina and Hawaii which has no native deer, 46 of 48 states have either a physical carcass tag or a license notch/punch tagging system. The two states that have no tags, Mississippi and Florida, have mandatory antler restrictions on all buck harvested.
- The state's deer population has changed in recent years and although there are still some areas with high deer populations, the overall statewide population has decreased as evidenced by a 30 percent reduction in harvest since 2002. This is likely due to changes in habitat conditions associated with forest management and many years of extremely liberal deer harvests. Also, coyotes are a recent addition to the landscape in SC and are another piece of the puzzle.
- SCDNR and the US Forest Service-Savannah River began a study in 2005 that was recently completed investigating the affects coyotes are having on the survival of deer fawns. The study indicated approximately 70 percent total fawn mortality with coyotes being responsible for approximately 80 percent of these mortalities. If these findings even moderately represent a statewide situation, this "new mortality factor" is clearly involved in the reduction in deer numbers. This is especially true when combined with extremely liberal deer harvests that have been the norm in SC.
- The study also tested the idea that coyote control would increase fawn survival. Over the course of the 3 year coyote "control" phase, 474 coyotes were trapped/killed on the study areas. Overall, results showed only modest increases in fawn survival following these efforts with an overall average of about 39 percent increase in survival. Also, trapping seemed to help in some years but have little effect on predation in others. Given these moderate/mixed results and the difficulty and high cost of coyote control (\$199/coyote), the study concluded that making adjustments to how we manage deer, particularly female deer, is more important now than prior to the colonization of the state by coyotes.
- Hunters have been concerned about future deer management and hunting in SC and have encouraged DNR to study the matter and recommend a more modern approach.
- Hunters perceive that the current system leads to overexploitation of bucks, particularly young bucks, resulting in a poor overall management approach.
- There has been increasing interest among hunters in reducing harvest pressure on antlered deer which should result in more total antlered deer, having the opportunity to see and harvest more mature bucks, and having a more balanced adult sex ratio.
- A five year deer movement and survival study using radio-telemetry conducted by DNR and Clemson University on a 14,000 acre private tract in Williamsburg and

Georgetown counties estimated zero survival of bucks through 4 years old while 48 percent of does survived to 4 years. Although the harvest of bucks on the property was conservative, many bucks were harvested by hunters off the property. These results demonstrated that even on large properties, efforts at deer management are often limited by activities of hunters on adjacent properties. This is particularly the case when hunters on adjacent properties do not share management goals and there are no limits on the harvest of bucks.

- DNR has repeatedly measured hunter opinion on the issues using public meetings and various surveys. Regardless of the technique used, results of these efforts indicated 70+ percent support among hunters in having a reasonable limit on bucks, a tagging system for all deer that would provide for enforcement of limits, and paying additional fees to support the tagging program provided that revenue goes back into the Deer Program.
- One of these surveys was conducted by Responsive Management, Inc. which is a nationally recognized independent company specializing in natural resources related surveys. The survey provided statistical reliability of ± 1.60 and indicated that statewide only 6 percent of hunters supported a no limit approach or a limit of greater than 5 bucks; 74 percent of deer hunters indicated support for a tagging system that would enable buck limits to be enforced; 78 percent of deer hunters indicated that they would be willing to pay for a tagging system provided that revenue be used to administer the tagging program and for deer research and management.
- For a decade, harvest figures voluntarily submitted by hunters as part of the annual Deer Hunter Survey indicate that only 7 percent of hunters harvest more than 4 bucks annually. This fraction of hunters takes 30 percent of all bucks annually statewide. This survey is sent to 25,000-30,000 hunters annually.
- From a management standpoint, tagging all deer is beneficial because it would allow for enforcement of limits and better regulation and manipulation of the harvest of antlerless deer (doe deer). This is particularly important as we attempt to mitigate the impact of coyotes on future deer management.
- Limiting buck harvest should also shift harvest emphasis towards female deer in parts of the state where better population control is still needed, such as where agricultural damage from deer occurs. When given a choice most hunters choose to harvest a buck, any buck, over a doe because they can with no limits. Many agricultural producers complain that hunters focus on harvesting bucks and fail to adequately harvest does. This is the primary reason that SC Farm Bureau supports the plan.
- Currently, just over half of deer hunters (53%) purchase Individual Antlerless Deer Tags at a cost of \$5 per tag with the average hunter purchasing 3 tags at a cost of \$15.
- Additionally, it is estimated that another 25 percent of hunters use tags issued to properties or hunt clubs under the Antlerless Deer Quota Program (ADQP). The application fee for the ADQP is \$50.
- Combining the figures for both tagging programs indicates that about 75 percent of all deer hunters are currently using antlerless deer tags that they or someone else is paying additional fees for.

- With the exception of very casual deer hunters, the current \$15 proposal for 4 buck tags and 4 antlerless tags is in line with what the average deer hunter is currently paying for antlerless deer tags alone.
- Revenue associated with the current antlerless tag programs are earmarked for program administration, deer research/management, and law enforcement. These sources of revenue have been in place for over 20 years making the agency's Deer Program self sufficient, requiring no state funding. Obviously the agency would have concerns with a new program that did not replace this historic source of funding.
- The following entities support the bill: Quality Deer Management Association, National Wild Turkey Federation SC State Board, SC Wildlife Federation, and SC Farm Bureau.

Appendix 2 cont.

Do you support or oppose a limit on antlered bucks in SC?

	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total
No answer	0			1			1	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.3%
SUPPORT	82	89	65	133	33	65	56	523	70.7%	95.7%	85.5%	92.4%	86.8%	60.7%	50.5%	76.4%
OPPOSE	34	4	11	10	5	42	54	160	29.3%	4.3%	14.5%	6.9%	13.2%	39.3%	48.6%	23.4%
Total	116	93	76	144	38	107	111	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you support or oppose a tagging system for deer in SC?

	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total
No answer		1	1					2	0.0%	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
SUPPORT	79	89	66	135	31	65	59	524	68.1%	95.7%	86.8%	93.8%	81.6%	60.7%	53.2%	76.5%
OPPOSE	37	3	9	9	7	42	52	159	31.9%	3.2%	11.8%	6.3%	18.4%	39.3%	46.8%	23.2%
Total	116	93	76	144	38	107	111	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 2 cont.

As it relates to the current legislation, do you support or oppose a limit of 4 antlered bucks?

	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total
No answer		1						1	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
SUPPORT	70	77	55	110	22	54	46	434	60.3%	82.8%	72.4%	76.4%	57.9%	50.5%	41.4%	63.4%
OPPOSE	46	15	21	34	16	53	65	250	39.7%	16.1%	27.6%	23.6%	42.1%	49.5%	58.6%	36.5%
Total	116	93	76	144	38	107	111	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If you oppose a 4 buck limit, why?

	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total
No answer	65	75	57	105	21	56	45	424	56.0%	80.6%	75.0%	72.9%	55.3%	52.3%	40.5%	61.9%
4 bucks is too many	10	16	11	29	9	10	3	88	8.6%	17.2%	14.5%	20.1%	23.7%	9.3%	2.7%	12.8%
4 bucks is not enough	19	2	1	1	5	11	19	58	16.4%	2.2%	1.3%	0.7%	13.2%	10.3%	17.1%	8.5%
There should be no limit	22		7	9	3	30	44	115	19.0%	0.0%	9.2%	6.3%	7.9%	28.0%	39.6%	16.8%
Total	116	93	76	144	38	107	111	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 2 cont.

As it relates to the current legislation, do you support or oppose a limit of 4 antlerless (doe) deer?

	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total
No answer	4	1	1	1	1	4	1	13	3.4%	1.1%	1.3%	0.7%	2.6%	3.7%	0.9%	1.9%
SUPPORT	76	74	65	106	26	57	67	471	65.5%	79.6%	85.5%	73.6%	68.4%	53.3%	60.4%	68.8%
OPPOSE	36	18	10	37	11	46	43	201	31.0%	19.4%	13.2%	25.7%	28.9%	43.0%	38.7%	29.3%
Total	116	93	76	144	38	107	111	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If you oppose a limit of 4 antlerless (doe) deer, why?

	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total
No answer	73	72	66	103	27	61	62	464	62.9%	77.4%	86.8%	71.5%	71.1%	57.0%	55.9%	67.7%
4 does is too many	12	12	6	19	7	18	9	83	10.3%	12.9%	7.9%	13.2%	18.4%	16.8%	8.1%	12.1%
4 does is not enough	16	6	1	19	4	11	25	82	13.8%	6.5%	1.3%	13.2%	10.5%	10.3%	22.5%	12.0%
There should be no limit	15	3	3	3		17	15	56	12.9%	3.2%	3.9%	2.1%	0.0%	15.9%	13.5%	8.2%
Total	116	93	76	144	38	107	111	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 2 cont.

As it relates to the current legislation, do you support or oppose a fee for resident hunters of \$15 for 4 buck and 4 doe tags?

	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total
No answer		2	1	1		1	1	6	0.0%	2.2%	1.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
SUPPORT	74	77	64	117	28	58	55	473	63.8%	82.8%	84.2%	81.3%	73.7%	54.2%	49.5%	69.1%
OPPOSE	42	14	11	26	10	48	55	206	36.2%	15.1%	14.5%	18.1%	26.3%	44.9%	49.5%	30.1%
Total	116	93	76	144	38	107	111	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If you oppose a fee of \$15 for resident tags, why?

	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total
No answer	73	80	65	118	28	60	58	482	62.9%	86.0%	85.5%	81.9%	73.7%	56.1%	52.3%	70.4%
Cost is too much	4	3		3	2	6	4	22	3.4%	3.2%	0.0%	2.1%	5.3%	5.6%	3.6%	3.2%
Cost is not enough	14	2	4	6	2	15	9	52	12.1%	2.2%	5.3%	4.2%	5.3%	14.0%	8.1%	7.6%
I don't want to pay more	25	8	7	17	6	26	40	129	21.6%	8.6%	9.2%	11.8%	15.8%	24.3%	36.0%	18.8%
Total	116	93	76	144	38	107	111	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 2 cont.

As it relates to the current legislation, do you support or oppose a fee for nonresident hunters of \$30 for the first tag and \$10 for each additional tag up to the 4 buck, 4 doe limit?

	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total
No answer	1	1		2		1	1	6	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
SUPPORT	47	27	54	97	26	26	33	310	40.5%	29.0%	71.1%	67.4%	68.4%	24.3%	29.7%	45.3%
OPPOSE	68	65	22	45	12	80	77	369	58.6%	69.9%	28.9%	31.3%	31.6%	74.8%	69.4%	53.9%
Total	116	93	76	144	38	107	111	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If you oppose a fee for nonresidents of \$30 for the first tag and \$10 for each additional tag, why?

	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total
No answer	47	28	51	98	27	29	28	308	40.5%	30.1%	67.1%	68.1%	71.1%	27.1%	25.2%	45.0%
cost is too much	2	6	1	6	6	2	7	30	1.7%	6.5%	1.3%	4.2%	15.8%	1.9%	6.3%	4.4%
cost is not enough	58	59	20	37	4	71	71	320	50.0%	63.4%	26.3%	25.7%	10.5%	66.4%	64.0%	46.7%
I don't want to pay more	9		4	3	1	5	5	27	7.8%	0.0%	5.3%	2.1%	2.6%	4.7%	4.5%	3.9%
Total	116	93	76	144	38	107	111	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 2 cont.

Would you support or oppose a free electronic deer harvest reporting system to provide harvest data and to assist with law enforcement?

	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total	Florence	N. Augusta	Lancaster	Pendleton	Greenwood	Orangeburg	Walterboro	Total
No answer	3		1	1		4	1	10	2.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.7%	0.0%	3.7%	0.9%	1.5%
SUPPORT	91	89	65	132	33	76	55	541	78.4%	95.7%	85.5%	91.7%	86.8%	71.0%	49.5%	79.0%
OPPOSE	22	4	10	11	5	27	55	134	19.0%	4.3%	13.2%	7.6%	13.2%	25.2%	49.5%	19.6%
Total	116	93	76	144	38	107	111	686	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 3 – Regional Spreadsheets

Do you support or oppose a limit on antlered bucks in SC?

	Piedmont	Coastal	Total	Piedmont	Coastal	Total
No answer	1	1	2	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%
SUPPORT	255	268	523	92.7%	65.4%	76.4%
OPPOSE	19	141	160	6.9%	34.4%	23.4%
Total	275	410	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you support or oppose a tagging system for deer in SC?

	Piedmont	Coastal	Total	Piedmont	Coastal	Total
No answer	1	1	2	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%
SUPPORT	255	269	524	92.7%	65.6%	76.5%
OPPOSE	19	140	159	6.9%	34.1%	23.2%
Total	275	410	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 3 – Regional Spreadsheets

As it relates to the current legislation, do you support or oppose a limit of 4 antlered bucks?

	Piedmont	Coastal	Total	Piedmont	Coastal	Total
No answer	1	0	1	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%
SUPPORT	209	225	434	76.0%	54.9%	63.4%
OPPOSE	65	185	250	23.6%	45.1%	36.5%
Total	275	410	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If you oppose a 4 buck limit, why?

	Piedmont	Coastal	Total	Piedmont	Coastal	Total
No answer	201	223	424	73.1%	54.4%	61.9%
4 bucks is too many	54	34	88	19.6%	8.3%	12.8%
4 bucks is not enough	8	50	58	2.9%	12.2%	8.5%
There should be no limit	12	103	115	4.4%	25.1%	16.8%
Total	275	410	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 3 – Regional Spreadsheets

As it relates to the current legislation, do you support or oppose a limit of 4 antlerless (doe) deer?

	Piedmont	Coastal	Total	Piedmont	Coastal	Total
No answer	3	10	13	1.1%	2.4%	1.9%
SUPPORT	206	265	471	74.9%	64.6%	68.8%
OPPOSE	66	135	201	24.0%	32.9%	29.3%
Total	275	410	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If you oppose a limit of 4 antlerless (doe) deer, why?

	Piedmont	Coastal	Total	Piedmont	Coastal	Total
No answer	202	262	464	73.5%	63.9%	67.7%
4 does is too many	38	45	83	13.8%	11.0%	12.1%
4 does is not enough	29	53	82	10.5%	12.9%	12.0%
There should be no limit	6	50	56	2.2%	12.2%	8.2%
Total	275	410	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 3 – Regional Spreadsheets

As it relates to the current legislation, do you support or oppose a fee for resident hunters of \$15 for 4 buck and 4 doe tags?

	Piedmont	Coastal	Total	Piedmont	Coastal	Total
No answer	3	3	6	1.1%	0.7%	0.9%
SUPPORT	222	251	473	80.7%	61.2%	69.1%
OPPOSE	50	156	206	18.2%	38.0%	30.1%
Total	275	410	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If you oppose a fee of \$15 for resident tags, why?

	Piedmont	Coastal	Total	Piedmont	Coastal	Total
No answer	226	256	482	82.2%	62.4%	70.4%
Cost is too much	8	14	22	2.9%	3.4%	3.2%
Cost is not enough	10	42	52	3.6%	10.2%	7.6%
I don't want to pay more	31	98	129	11.3%	23.9%	18.8%
Total	275	410	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 3 – Regional Spreadsheets

As it relates to the current legislation, do you support or oppose a fee for nonresident hunters of \$30 for the first tag and \$10 for each additional tag up to the 4 buck, 4 doe limit?

	Piedmont	Coastal	Total	Piedmont	Coastal	Total
No answer	3	3	6	1.1%	0.7%	0.9%
SUPPORT	150	160	310	54.5%	39.0%	45.3%
OPPOSE	122	247	369	44.4%	60.2%	53.9%
Total	275	410	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If you oppose a fee for nonresidents of \$30 for the first tag and \$10 for each additional tag, why?

	Piedmont	Coastal	Total	Piedmont	Coastal	Total
No answer	153	155	308	55.6%	37.8%	45.0%
cost is too much	18	12	30	6.5%	2.9%	4.4%
cost is not enough	100	220	320	36.4%	53.7%	46.7%
I don't want to pay more	4	23	27	1.5%	5.6%	3.9%
Total	275	410	685	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 3 – Regional Spreadsheets

Would you support or oppose a free electronic deer harvest reporting system to provide harvest data and to assist with law enforcement?

	Piedmont	Coastal	Total	Piedmont	Coastal	Total
No answer	1	9	10	0.4%	2.2%	1.5%
SUPPORT	254	287	541	92.4%	70.0%	79.0%
OPPOSE	20	114	134	7.3%	27.8%	19.6%
Total	275	410	686	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Philosophically do you Support or Oppose a limit on antlered bucks?

County	# Support	# Oppose	Total	% Support	% Oppose	Total
Abbeville	61	3	64	95.3%	4.7%	100.0%
Aiken	115	21	136	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%
Allendale	12	3	15	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Anderson	174	12	186	93.5%	6.5%	100.0%
Bamberg	23	9	32	71.9%	28.1%	100.0%
Barnwell	28	9	37	75.7%	24.3%	100.0%
Beaufort	87	13	100	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%
Berkeley	167	97	264	63.3%	36.7%	100.0%
Calhoun	28	12	40	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
Charleston	235	45	280	83.9%	16.1%	100.0%
Cherokee	33	4	37	89.2%	10.8%	100.0%
Chester	33	3	36	91.7%	8.3%	100.0%
Chesterfield	35	10	45	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%
Clarendon	34	12	46	73.9%	26.1%	100.0%
Colleton	76	46	122	62.3%	37.7%	100.0%
Darlington	35	5	40	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%
Dillon	13	2	15	86.7%	13.3%	100.0%
Dorchester	98	56	154	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
Edgefield	29	4	33	87.9%	12.1%	100.0%
Fairfield	37	3	40	92.5%	7.5%	100.0%
Florence	123	18	141	87.2%	12.8%	100.0%
Georgetown	67	24	91	73.6%	26.4%	100.0%
Greenville	288	28	316	91.1%	8.9%	100.0%
Greenwood	97	3	100	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Hampton	27	9	36	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Horry	143	42	185	77.3%	22.7%	100.0%
Jasper	24	3	27	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%
Kershaw	76	19	95	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Lancaster	64	10	74	86.5%	13.5%	100.0%
Laurens	95	19	114	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Lee	10	3	13	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%
Lexington	289	71	360	80.3%	19.7%	100.0%
Marion	14	5	19	73.7%	26.3%	100.0%
Marlboro	11	2	13	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%
McCormick	23	0	23	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Newberry	70	8	78	89.7%	10.3%	100.0%
Oconee	82	8	90	91.1%	8.9%	100.0%
Orangeburg	73	25	98	74.5%	25.5%	100.0%
Pickens	105	8	113	92.9%	7.1%	100.0%
Richland	188	21	209	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Saluda	32	2	34	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%
Spartanburg	198	17	215	92.1%	7.9%	100.0%
Sumter	94	22	116	81.0%	19.0%	100.0%
Union	34	2	36	94.4%	5.6%	100.0%
Williamsburg	38	29	67	56.7%	43.3%	100.0%
York	161	10	171	94.2%	5.8%	100.0%
TOTAL	3779	777	4556	82.9%	17.1%	100.0%

Philosophically do you Support or Oppose a tagging system for deer?

County	# Support	# Oppose	Total	% Support	% Oppose	Total
Abbeville	58	6	64	90.6%	9.4%	100.0%
Aiken	109	27	136	80.1%	19.9%	100.0%
Allendale	14	1	15	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%
Anderson	163	23	186	87.6%	12.4%	100.0%
Bamberg	24	8	32	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Barnwell	31	6	37	83.8%	16.2%	100.0%
Beaufort	87	13	100	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%
Berkeley	176	88	264	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Calhoun	29	11	40	72.5%	27.5%	100.0%
Charleston	235	45	280	83.9%	16.1%	100.0%
Cherokee	32	5	37	86.5%	13.5%	100.0%
Chester	30	6	36	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Chesterfield	31	14	45	68.9%	31.1%	100.0%
Clarendon	31	15	46	67.4%	32.6%	100.0%
Colleton	79	43	122	64.8%	35.2%	100.0%
Darlington	32	8	40	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Dillon	12	3	15	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Dorchester	105	49	154	68.2%	31.8%	100.0%
Edgefield	25	8	33	75.8%	24.2%	100.0%
Fairfield	34	6	40	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%
Florence	116	25	141	82.3%	17.7%	100.0%
Georgetown	58	33	91	63.7%	36.3%	100.0%
Greenville	278	38	316	88.0%	12.0%	100.0%
Greenwood	90	10	100	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Hampton	29	7	36	80.6%	19.4%	100.0%
Horry	141	44	185	76.2%	23.8%	100.0%
Jasper	24	3	27	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%
Kershaw	75	20	95	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%
Lancaster	60	14	74	81.1%	18.9%	100.0%
Laurens	89	25	114	78.1%	21.9%	100.0%
Lee	10	3	13	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%
Lexington	285	75	360	79.2%	20.8%	100.0%
Marion	12	7	19	63.2%	36.8%	100.0%
Marlboro	11	2	13	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%
McCormick	23	0	23	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Newberry	60	18	78	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%
Oconee	79	11	90	87.8%	12.2%	100.0%
Orangeburg	73	25	98	74.5%	25.5%	100.0%
Pickens	103	10	113	91.2%	8.8%	100.0%
Richland	185	24	209	88.5%	11.5%	100.0%
Saluda	28	6	34	82.4%	17.6%	100.0%
Spartanburg	187	28	215	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%
Sumter	89	27	116	76.7%	23.3%	100.0%
Union	33	3	36	91.7%	8.3%	100.0%
Williamsburg	41	26	67	61.2%	38.8%	100.0%
York	154	17	171	90.1%	9.9%	100.0%
TOTAL	3,670	886	4556	80.6%	19.4%	100.0%

Do you Support or Oppose the proposed 4 buck limit?

County	# Support	# Oppose	Total	% Support	% Oppose	Total
Abbeville	43	21	64	67.2%	32.8%	100.0%
Aiken	105	31	136	77.2%	22.8%	100.0%
Allendale	10	5	15	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Anderson	151	35	186	81.2%	18.8%	100.0%
Bamberg	22	10	32	68.8%	31.3%	100.0%
Barnwell	29	8	37	78.4%	21.6%	100.0%
Beaufort	77	23	100	77.0%	23.0%	100.0%
Berkeley	161	103	264	61.0%	39.0%	100.0%
Calhoun	26	14	40	65.0%	35.0%	100.0%
Charleston	228	52	280	81.4%	18.6%	100.0%
Cherokee	28	9	37	75.7%	24.3%	100.0%
Chester	29	7	36	80.6%	19.4%	100.0%
Chesterfield	35	10	45	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%
Clarendon	32	14	46	69.6%	30.4%	100.0%
Colleton	72	50	122	59.0%	41.0%	100.0%
Darlington	33	7	40	82.5%	17.5%	100.0%
Dillon	13	2	15	86.7%	13.3%	100.0%
Dorchester	97	57	154	63.0%	37.0%	100.0%
Edgefield	25	8	33	75.8%	24.2%	100.0%
Fairfield	35	5	40	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%
Florence	115	26	141	81.6%	18.4%	100.0%
Georgetown	57	34	91	62.6%	37.4%	100.0%
Greenville	279	37	316	88.3%	11.7%	100.0%
Greenwood	79	21	100	79.0%	21.0%	100.0%
Hampton	25	11	36	69.4%	30.6%	100.0%
Horry	133	52	185	71.9%	28.1%	100.0%
Jasper	22	5	27	81.5%	18.5%	100.0%
Kershaw	67	28	95	70.5%	29.5%	100.0%
Lancaster	55	19	74	74.3%	25.7%	100.0%
Laurens	88	26	114	77.2%	22.8%	100.0%
Lee	11	2	13	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%
Lexington	273	87	360	75.8%	24.2%	100.0%
Marion	13	6	19	68.4%	31.6%	100.0%
Marlboro	11	2	13	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%
McCormick	20	3	23	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%
Newberry	63	15	78	80.8%	19.2%	100.0%
Oconee	67	23	90	74.4%	25.6%	100.0%
Orangeburg	68	30	98	69.4%	30.6%	100.0%
Pickens	89	24	113	78.8%	21.2%	100.0%
Richland	178	31	209	85.2%	14.8%	100.0%
Saluda	31	3	34	91.2%	8.8%	100.0%
Spartanburg	178	37	215	82.8%	17.2%	100.0%
Sumter	86	30	116	74.1%	25.9%	100.0%
Union	31	5	36	86.1%	13.9%	100.0%
Williamsburg	34	33	67	50.7%	49.3%	100.0%
York	146	25	171	85.4%	14.6%	100.0%
TOTAL	3470	1086	4556	76.2%	23.8%	100.0%

If Opposed to the 4 buck limit proposal, why?

County	# No Answer	# 4 is too many	# 4 is to few	# Should be no Limit	Total	% No Answer	% 4 is too many	% 4 is to few	% Should be no Limit	Total
Abbeville	43	18	3	0	64	67.2%	28.1%	4.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Aiken	105	5	8	18	136	77.2%	3.7%	5.9%	13.2%	100.0%
Allendale	10	1	2	2	15	66.7%	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	100.0%
Anderson	150	23	8	5	186	80.6%	12.4%	4.3%	2.7%	100.0%
Bamberg	22	1	0	9	32	68.8%	3.1%	0.0%	28.1%	100.0%
Barnwell	29	0	2	6	37	78.4%	0.0%	5.4%	16.2%	100.0%
Beaufort	77	8	4	11	100	77.0%	8.0%	4.0%	11.0%	100.0%
Berkeley	159	6	19	80	264	60.2%	2.3%	7.2%	30.3%	100.0%
Calhoun	26	2	3	9	40	65.0%	5.0%	7.5%	22.5%	100.0%
Charleston	230	5	21	24	280	82.1%	1.8%	7.5%	8.6%	100.0%
Cherokee	28	4	4	1	37	75.7%	10.8%	10.8%	2.7%	100.0%
Chester	29	3	0	4	36	80.6%	8.3%	0.0%	11.1%	100.0%
Chesterfield	35	0	5	5	45	77.8%	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%	100.0%
Clarendon	32	1	2	11	46	69.6%	2.2%	4.3%	23.9%	100.0%
Colleton	72	4	8	38	122	59.0%	3.3%	6.6%	31.1%	100.0%
Darlington	33	1	3	3	40	82.5%	2.5%	7.5%	7.5%	100.0%
Dillon	14	0	0	1	15	93.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	100.0%
Dorchester	97	2	15	40	154	63.0%	1.3%	9.7%	26.0%	100.0%
Edgefield	26	4	0	3	33	78.8%	12.1%	0.0%	9.1%	100.0%
Fairfield	35	1	3	1	40	87.5%	2.5%	7.5%	2.5%	100.0%
Florence	115	6	10	10	141	81.6%	4.3%	7.1%	7.1%	100.0%
Georgetown	57	3	9	22	91	62.6%	3.3%	9.9%	24.2%	100.0%
Greenville	279	13	6	18	316	88.3%	4.1%	1.9%	5.7%	100.0%
Greenwood	80	13	3	4	100	80.0%	13.0%	3.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Hampton	25	0	5	6	36	69.4%	0.0%	13.9%	16.7%	100.0%
Horry	132	10	14	29	185	71.4%	5.4%	7.6%	15.7%	100.0%
Jasper	21	1	3	2	27	77.8%	3.7%	11.1%	7.4%	100.0%
Kershaw	68	8	7	12	95	71.6%	8.4%	7.4%	12.6%	100.0%
Lancaster	57	4	4	9	74	77.0%	5.4%	5.4%	12.2%	100.0%
Laurens	88	9	10	7	114	77.2%	7.9%	8.8%	6.1%	100.0%
Lee	11	0	1	1	13	84.6%	0.0%	7.7%	7.7%	100.0%
Lexington	273	9	17	61	360	75.8%	2.5%	4.7%	16.9%	100.0%
Marion	13	0	2	4	19	68.4%	0.0%	10.5%	21.1%	100.0%
Marlboro	11	0	0	2	13	84.6%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	100.0%
McCormick	20	2	0	1	23	87.0%	8.7%	0.0%	4.3%	100.0%
Newberry	63	8	2	5	78	80.8%	10.3%	2.6%	6.4%	100.0%
Oconee	67	11	7	5	90	74.4%	12.2%	7.8%	5.6%	100.0%
Orangeburg	69	1	7	21	98	70.4%	1.0%	7.1%	21.4%	100.0%
Pickens	89	16	6	2	113	78.8%	14.2%	5.3%	1.8%	100.0%
Richland	177	10	14	8	209	84.7%	4.8%	6.7%	3.8%	100.0%
Saluda	31	0	2	1	34	91.2%	0.0%	5.9%	2.9%	100.0%
Spartanburg	179	16	6	14	215	83.3%	7.4%	2.8%	6.5%	100.0%
Sumter	88	2	7	19	116	75.9%	1.7%	6.0%	16.4%	100.0%
Union	30	3	3	0	36	83.3%	8.3%	8.3%	0.0%	100.0%
Williamsburg	35	1	12	19	67	52.2%	1.5%	17.9%	28.4%	100.0%
York	145	13	7	6	171	84.8%	7.6%	4.1%	3.5%	100.0%
TOTAL	3475	248	274	559	4556	76.3%	5.4%	6.0%	12.3%	100.0%

Do you Support or Oppose the proposed 4 doe limit?

County	# Support	# Oppose	Total	% Support	% Oppose	Total
Abbeville	46	18	64	71.9%	28.1%	100.0%
Aiken	110	26	136	80.9%	19.1%	100.0%
Allendale	10	5	15	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Anderson	151	35	186	81.2%	18.8%	100.0%
Bamberg	26	6	32	81.3%	18.8%	100.0%
Barnwell	30	7	37	81.1%	18.9%	100.0%
Beaufort	75	25	100	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Berkeley	219	45	264	83.0%	17.0%	100.0%
Calhoun	25	15	40	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
Charleston	232	48	280	82.9%	17.1%	100.0%
Cherokee	26	11	37	70.3%	29.7%	100.0%
Chester	31	5	36	86.1%	13.9%	100.0%
Chesterfield	34	11	45	75.6%	24.4%	100.0%
Clarendon	37	9	46	80.4%	19.6%	100.0%
Colleton	87	35	122	71.3%	28.7%	100.0%
Darlington	34	6	40	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%
Dillon	11	4	15	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%
Dorchester	107	47	154	69.5%	30.5%	100.0%
Edgefield	25	8	33	75.8%	24.2%	100.0%
Fairfield	31	9	40	77.5%	22.5%	100.0%
Florence	112	29	141	79.4%	20.6%	100.0%
Georgetown	68	23	91	74.7%	25.3%	100.0%
Greenville	273	43	316	86.4%	13.6%	100.0%
Greenwood	86	14	100	86.0%	14.0%	100.0%
Hampton	24	12	36	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Horry	137	48	185	74.1%	25.9%	100.0%
Jasper	21	6	27	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%
Kershaw	68	27	95	71.6%	28.4%	100.0%
Lancaster	59	15	74	79.7%	20.3%	100.0%
Laurens	87	27	114	76.3%	23.7%	100.0%
Lee	8	5	13	61.5%	38.5%	100.0%
Lexington	297	63	360	82.5%	17.5%	100.0%
Marion	13	6	19	68.4%	31.6%	100.0%
Marlboro	12	1	13	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%
McCormick	20	3	23	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%
Newberry	66	12	78	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%
Oconee	74	16	90	82.2%	17.8%	100.0%
Orangeburg	69	29	98	70.4%	29.6%	100.0%
Pickens	93	20	113	82.3%	17.7%	100.0%
Richland	184	25	209	88.0%	12.0%	100.0%
Saluda	27	7	34	79.4%	20.6%	100.0%
Spartanburg	169	46	215	78.6%	21.4%	100.0%
Sumter	96	20	116	82.8%	17.2%	100.0%
Union	30	6	36	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Williamsburg	45	22	67	67.2%	32.8%	100.0%
York	139	32	171	81.3%	18.7%	100.0%
TOTAL	3624	932	4556	79.5%	20.5%	100.0%

If Opposed to the 4 doe limit proposal, why?

County	# No Answer	# 4 is too many	# 4 is to few	# Should be no Limit	Total	% No Answer	% 4 is too many	% 4 is to few	% Should be no Limit	Total
Abbeville	46	11	6	1	64	71.9%	17.2%	9.4%	1.6%	100.0%
Aiken	110	4	16	6	136	80.9%	2.9%	11.8%	4.4%	100.0%
Allendale	10	0	4	1	15	66.7%	0.0%	26.7%	6.7%	100.0%
Anderson	152	14	14	6	186	81.7%	7.5%	7.5%	3.2%	100.0%
Bamberg	25	0	2	5	32	78.1%	0.0%	6.3%	15.6%	100.0%
Barnwell	30	0	2	5	37	81.1%	0.0%	5.4%	13.5%	100.0%
Beaufort	75	5	12	8	100	75.0%	5.0%	12.0%	8.0%	100.0%
Berkeley	217	8	20	19	264	82.2%	3.0%	7.6%	7.2%	100.0%
Calhoun	26	1	11	2	40	65.0%	2.5%	27.5%	5.0%	100.0%
Charleston	235	3	24	18	280	83.9%	1.1%	8.6%	6.4%	100.0%
Cherokee	26	5	5	1	37	70.3%	13.5%	13.5%	2.7%	100.0%
Chester	31	1	3	1	36	86.1%	2.8%	8.3%	2.8%	100.0%
Chesterfield	34	1	4	6	45	75.6%	2.2%	8.9%	13.3%	100.0%
Clarendon	37	1	3	5	46	80.4%	2.2%	6.5%	10.9%	100.0%
Colleton	88	6	17	11	122	72.1%	4.9%	13.9%	9.0%	100.0%
Darlington	34	1	3	2	40	85.0%	2.5%	7.5%	5.0%	100.0%
Dillon	11	0	4	0	15	73.3%	0.0%	26.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Dorchester	108	6	21	19	154	70.1%	3.9%	13.6%	12.3%	100.0%
Edgefield	26	3	1	3	33	78.8%	9.1%	3.0%	9.1%	100.0%
Fairfield	31	3	5	1	40	77.5%	7.5%	12.5%	2.5%	100.0%
Florence	112	0	16	13	141	79.4%	0.0%	11.3%	9.2%	100.0%
Georgetown	68	4	7	12	91	74.7%	4.4%	7.7%	13.2%	100.0%
Greenville	273	9	17	17	316	86.4%	2.8%	5.4%	5.4%	100.0%
Greenwood	86	8	3	3	100	86.0%	8.0%	3.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Hampton	24	0	8	4	36	66.7%	0.0%	22.2%	11.1%	100.0%
Horry	138	8	24	15	185	74.6%	4.3%	13.0%	8.1%	100.0%
Jasper	21	0	4	2	27	77.8%	0.0%	14.8%	7.4%	100.0%
Kershaw	69	6	10	10	95	72.6%	6.3%	10.5%	10.5%	100.0%
Lancaster	59	2	7	6	74	79.7%	2.7%	9.5%	8.1%	100.0%
Laurens	87	5	17	5	114	76.3%	4.4%	14.9%	4.4%	100.0%
Lee	8	0	1	4	13	61.5%	0.0%	7.7%	30.8%	100.0%
Lexington	295	7	29	29	360	81.9%	1.9%	8.1%	8.1%	100.0%
Marion	13	0	0	6	19	68.4%	0.0%	0.0%	31.6%	100.0%
Marlboro	12	0	0	1	13	92.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	100.0%
McCormick	20	1	2	0	23	87.0%	4.3%	8.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Newberry	66	4	5	3	78	84.6%	5.1%	6.4%	3.8%	100.0%
Oconee	74	7	6	3	90	82.2%	7.8%	6.7%	3.3%	100.0%
Orangeburg	70	2	9	17	98	71.4%	2.0%	9.2%	17.3%	100.0%
Pickens	93	10	7	3	113	82.3%	8.8%	6.2%	2.7%	100.0%
Richland	184	5	11	9	209	88.0%	2.4%	5.3%	4.3%	100.0%
Saluda	27	0	4	3	34	79.4%	0.0%	11.8%	8.8%	100.0%
Spartanburg	169	2	28	16	215	78.6%	0.9%	13.0%	7.4%	100.0%
Sumter	96	6	10	4	116	82.8%	5.2%	8.6%	3.4%	100.0%
Union	30	0	3	3	36	83.3%	0.0%	8.3%	8.3%	100.0%
Williamsburg	44	5	8	10	67	65.7%	7.5%	11.9%	14.9%	100.0%
York	139	11	12	9	171	81.3%	6.4%	7.0%	5.3%	100.0%
TOTAL	3629	175	425	327	4556	79.7%	3.8%	9.3%	7.2%	100.0%

Do you Support or Oppose a fee for residents of \$15 for 4 buck and 4 doe tags?

County	# Support	# Oppose	Total	% Support	% Oppose	Total
Abbeville	45	19	64	70.3%	29.7%	100.0%
Aiken	88	48	136	64.7%	35.3%	100.0%
Allendale	13	2	15	86.7%	13.3%	100.0%
Anderson	139	47	186	74.7%	25.3%	100.0%
Bamberg	23	9	32	71.9%	28.1%	100.0%
Barnwell	25	12	37	67.6%	32.4%	100.0%
Beaufort	74	26	100	74.0%	26.0%	100.0%
Berkeley	159	105	264	60.2%	39.8%	100.0%
Calhoun	29	11	40	72.5%	27.5%	100.0%
Charleston	216	64	280	77.1%	22.9%	100.0%
Cherokee	25	12	37	67.6%	32.4%	100.0%
Chester	25	11	36	69.4%	30.6%	100.0%
Chesterfield	26	19	45	57.8%	42.2%	100.0%
Clarendon	31	15	46	67.4%	32.6%	100.0%
Colleton	74	48	122	60.7%	39.3%	100.0%
Darlington	34	6	40	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%
Dillon	12	3	15	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Dorchester	99	55	154	64.3%	35.7%	100.0%
Edgefield	20	13	33	60.6%	39.4%	100.0%
Fairfield	34	6	40	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%
Florence	107	34	141	75.9%	24.1%	100.0%
Georgetown	53	38	91	58.2%	41.8%	100.0%
Greenville	244	72	316	77.2%	22.8%	100.0%
Greenwood	81	19	100	81.0%	19.0%	100.0%
Hampton	24	12	36	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Horry	126	59	185	68.1%	31.9%	100.0%
Jasper	21	6	27	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%
Kershaw	67	28	95	70.5%	29.5%	100.0%
Lancaster	52	22	74	70.3%	29.7%	100.0%
Laurens	77	37	114	67.5%	32.5%	100.0%
Lee	9	4	13	69.2%	30.8%	100.0%
Lexington	274	86	360	76.1%	23.9%	100.0%
Marion	10	9	19	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%
Marlboro	9	4	13	69.2%	30.8%	100.0%
McCormick	17	6	23	73.9%	26.1%	100.0%
Newberry	58	20	78	74.4%	25.6%	100.0%
Oconee	63	27	90	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
Orangeburg	65	33	98	66.3%	33.7%	100.0%
Pickens	91	22	113	80.5%	19.5%	100.0%
Richland	165	44	209	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%
Saluda	24	10	34	70.6%	29.4%	100.0%
Spartanburg	150	65	215	69.8%	30.2%	100.0%
Sumter	79	37	116	68.1%	31.9%	100.0%
Union	28	8	36	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%
Williamsburg	34	33	67	50.7%	49.3%	100.0%
York	135	36	171	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%
TOTAL	3254	1302	4556	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%

If you Oppose the resident fee of \$15 for 4 buck and 4 doe tags, why?

County	# No Answer	# 4 is too many	# 4 is to few	# Should be no Limit	Total	% No Answer	% It is too much	% It is not enough	% Don't want to pay more	Total
Abbeville	45	0	12	7	64	70.3%	0.0%	18.8%	10.9%	100.0%
Aiken	87	2	2	45	136	64.0%	1.5%	1.5%	33.1%	100.0%
Allendale	13	0	0	2	15	86.7%	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%	100.0%
Anderson	141	3	11	31	186	75.8%	1.6%	5.9%	16.7%	100.0%
Bamberg	23	1	1	7	32	71.9%	3.1%	3.1%	21.9%	100.0%
Barnwell	25	1	0	11	37	67.6%	2.7%	0.0%	29.7%	100.0%
Beaufort	75	1	4	20	100	75.0%	1.0%	4.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Berkeley	160	5	7	92	264	60.6%	1.9%	2.7%	34.8%	100.0%
Calhoun	29	1	2	8	40	72.5%	2.5%	5.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Charleston	216	8	5	51	280	77.1%	2.9%	1.8%	18.2%	100.0%
Cherokee	25	1	3	8	37	67.6%	2.7%	8.1%	21.6%	100.0%
Chester	25	1	1	9	36	69.4%	2.8%	2.8%	25.0%	100.0%
Chesterfield	26	0	2	17	45	57.8%	0.0%	4.4%	37.8%	100.0%
Clarendon	31	0	1	14	46	67.4%	0.0%	2.2%	30.4%	100.0%
Colleton	76	1	4	41	122	62.3%	0.8%	3.3%	33.6%	100.0%
Darlington	35	1	0	4	40	87.5%	2.5%	0.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Dillon	13	1	0	1	15	86.7%	6.7%	0.0%	6.7%	100.0%
Dorchester	101	6	0	47	154	65.6%	3.9%	0.0%	30.5%	100.0%
Edgefield	20	2	2	9	33	60.6%	6.1%	6.1%	27.3%	100.0%
Fairfield	35	0	1	4	40	87.5%	0.0%	2.5%	10.0%	100.0%
Florence	107	4	2	28	141	75.9%	2.8%	1.4%	19.9%	100.0%
Georgetown	53	5	3	30	91	58.2%	5.5%	3.3%	33.0%	100.0%
Greenville	246	4	8	58	316	77.8%	1.3%	2.5%	18.4%	100.0%
Greenwood	80	1	4	15	100	80.0%	1.0%	4.0%	15.0%	100.0%
Hampton	24	1	2	9	36	66.7%	2.8%	5.6%	25.0%	100.0%
Horry	126	3	3	53	185	68.1%	1.6%	1.6%	28.6%	100.0%
Jasper	21	0	1	5	27	77.8%	0.0%	3.7%	18.5%	100.0%
Kershaw	67	1	2	25	95	70.5%	1.1%	2.1%	26.3%	100.0%
Lancaster	51	3	1	19	74	68.9%	4.1%	1.4%	25.7%	100.0%
Laurens	78	6	3	27	114	68.4%	5.3%	2.6%	23.7%	100.0%
Lee	9	1	0	3	13	69.2%	7.7%	0.0%	23.1%	100.0%
Lexington	273	9	6	72	360	75.8%	2.5%	1.7%	20.0%	100.0%
Marion	10	1	0	8	19	52.6%	5.3%	0.0%	42.1%	100.0%
Marlboro	9	0	2	2	13	69.2%	0.0%	15.4%	15.4%	100.0%
McCormick	17	1	2	3	23	73.9%	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	100.0%
Newberry	58	2	1	17	78	74.4%	2.6%	1.3%	21.8%	100.0%
Oconee	63	4	2	21	90	70.0%	4.4%	2.2%	23.3%	100.0%
Orangeburg	66	1	1	30	98	67.3%	1.0%	1.0%	30.6%	100.0%
Pickens	91	1	2	19	113	80.5%	0.9%	1.8%	16.8%	100.0%
Richland	163	6	7	33	209	78.0%	2.9%	3.3%	15.8%	100.0%
Saluda	24	1	0	9	34	70.6%	2.9%	0.0%	26.5%	100.0%
Spartanburg	150	7	7	51	215	69.8%	3.3%	3.3%	23.7%	100.0%
Sumter	78	1	6	31	116	67.2%	0.9%	5.2%	26.7%	100.0%
Union	28	1	1	6	36	77.8%	2.8%	2.8%	16.7%	100.0%
Williamsburg	35	5	3	24	67	52.2%	7.5%	4.5%	35.8%	100.0%
York	133	5	3	30	171	77.8%	2.9%	1.8%	17.5%	100.0%
TOTAL	3261	109	130	1056	4556	71.6%	2.4%	2.9%	23.2%	100.0%

Do you Support or Oppose a nonresident fee of \$30 for the first tag and \$10 per additional tag?

County	# Support	# Oppose	Total	% Support	% Oppose	Total
Abbeville	43	21	64	67.2%	32.8%	100.0%
Aiken	93	43	136	68.4%	31.6%	100.0%
Allendale	11	4	15	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%
Anderson	110	76	186	59.1%	40.9%	100.0%
Bamberg	9	23	32	28.1%	71.9%	100.0%
Barnwell	15	22	37	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
Beaufort	71	29	100	71.0%	29.0%	100.0%
Berkeley	171	93	264	64.8%	35.2%	100.0%
Calhoun	22	18	40	55.0%	45.0%	100.0%
Charleston	191	89	280	68.2%	31.8%	100.0%
Cherokee	25	12	37	67.6%	32.4%	100.0%
Chester	25	11	36	69.4%	30.6%	100.0%
Chesterfield	34	11	45	75.6%	24.4%	100.0%
Clarendon	28	18	46	60.9%	39.1%	100.0%
Colleton	74	48	122	60.7%	39.3%	100.0%
Darlington	30	10	40	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Dillon	8	7	15	53.3%	46.7%	100.0%
Dorchester	99	55	154	64.3%	35.7%	100.0%
Edgefield	22	11	33	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Fairfield	23	17	40	57.5%	42.5%	100.0%
Florence	99	42	141	70.2%	29.8%	100.0%
Georgetown	68	23	91	74.7%	25.3%	100.0%
Greenville	219	97	316	69.3%	30.7%	100.0%
Greenwood	68	32	100	68.0%	32.0%	100.0%
Hampton	22	14	36	61.1%	38.9%	100.0%
Horry	131	54	185	70.8%	29.2%	100.0%
Jasper	14	13	27	51.9%	48.1%	100.0%
Kershaw	64	31	95	67.4%	32.6%	100.0%
Lancaster	48	26	74	64.9%	35.1%	100.0%
Laurens	71	43	114	62.3%	37.7%	100.0%
Lee	11	2	13	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%
Lexington	214	146	360	59.4%	40.6%	100.0%
Marion	11	8	19	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%
Marlboro	6	7	13	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
McCormick	16	7	23	69.6%	30.4%	100.0%
Newberry	47	31	78	60.3%	39.7%	100.0%
Oconee	63	27	90	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
Orangeburg	49	49	98	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Pickens	78	35	113	69.0%	31.0%	100.0%
Richland	143	66	209	68.4%	31.6%	100.0%
Saluda	26	8	34	76.5%	23.5%	100.0%
Spartanburg	156	59	215	72.6%	27.4%	100.0%
Sumter	85	31	116	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%
Union	22	14	36	61.1%	38.9%	100.0%
Williamsburg	40	27	67	59.7%	40.3%	100.0%
York	121	50	171	70.8%	29.2%	100.0%
TOTAL	2996	1560	4556	65.8%	34.2%	100.0%

If you oppose a nonresident fee of \$30 for first tag and \$10 per additional tag, why?

County	# No Answer	# It is too much	# It is not enough	# Don't want to pay more	Total	% No Answer	% It is too much	% It is not enough	% Don't want to pay more	Total
Abbeville	32	4	27	1	64	50.0%	6.3%	42.2%	1.6%	100.0%
Aiken	67	10	47	12	136	49.3%	7.4%	34.6%	8.8%	100.0%
Allendale	9	0	5	1	15	60.0%	0.0%	33.3%	6.7%	100.0%
Anderson	81	6	92	7	186	43.5%	3.2%	49.5%	3.8%	100.0%
Bamberg	4	4	19	5	32	12.5%	12.5%	59.4%	15.6%	100.0%
Barnwell	12	1	20	4	37	32.4%	2.7%	54.1%	10.8%	100.0%
Beaufort	55	2	39	4	100	55.0%	2.0%	39.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Berkeley	115	7	117	25	264	43.6%	2.7%	44.3%	9.5%	100.0%
Calhoun	17	1	21	1	40	42.5%	2.5%	52.5%	2.5%	100.0%
Charleston	129	5	136	10	280	46.1%	1.8%	48.6%	3.6%	100.0%
Cherokee	15	0	20	2	37	40.5%	0.0%	54.1%	5.4%	100.0%
Chester	17	4	11	4	36	47.2%	11.1%	30.6%	11.1%	100.0%
Chesterfield	23	4	14	4	45	51.1%	8.9%	31.1%	8.9%	100.0%
Clarendon	24	3	16	3	46	52.2%	6.5%	34.8%	6.5%	100.0%
Colleton	50	2	61	9	122	41.0%	1.6%	50.0%	7.4%	100.0%
Darlington	26	2	10	2	40	65.0%	5.0%	25.0%	5.0%	100.0%
Dillon	5	1	9	0	15	33.3%	6.7%	60.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Dorchester	66	6	68	14	154	42.9%	3.9%	44.2%	9.1%	100.0%
Edgefield	16	2	12	3	33	48.5%	6.1%	36.4%	9.1%	100.0%
Fairfield	21	0	18	1	40	52.5%	0.0%	45.0%	2.5%	100.0%
Florence	71	3	56	11	141	50.4%	2.1%	39.7%	7.8%	100.0%
Georgetown	54	4	24	9	91	59.3%	4.4%	26.4%	9.9%	100.0%
Greenville	170	11	121	14	316	53.8%	3.5%	38.3%	4.4%	100.0%
Greenwood	52	3	39	6	100	52.0%	3.0%	39.0%	6.0%	100.0%
Hampton	14	3	15	4	36	38.9%	8.3%	41.7%	11.1%	100.0%
Horry	97	12	54	22	185	52.4%	6.5%	29.2%	11.9%	100.0%
Jasper	10	4	10	3	27	37.0%	14.8%	37.0%	11.1%	100.0%
Kershaw	44	2	42	7	95	46.3%	2.1%	44.2%	7.4%	100.0%
Lancaster	34	3	30	7	74	45.9%	4.1%	40.5%	9.5%	100.0%
Laurens	53	10	45	6	114	46.5%	8.8%	39.5%	5.3%	100.0%
Lee	7	1	5	0	13	53.8%	7.7%	38.5%	0.0%	100.0%
Lexington	157	10	175	18	360	43.6%	2.8%	48.6%	5.0%	100.0%
Marion	9	2	6	2	19	47.4%	10.5%	31.6%	10.5%	100.0%
Marlboro	6	3	3	1	13	46.2%	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	100.0%
McCormick	11	3	9	0	23	47.8%	13.0%	39.1%	0.0%	100.0%
Newberry	36	1	40	1	78	46.2%	1.3%	51.3%	1.3%	100.0%
Oconee	45	6	34	5	90	50.0%	6.7%	37.8%	5.6%	100.0%
Orangeburg	30	5	54	9	98	30.6%	5.1%	55.1%	9.2%	100.0%
Pickens	60	6	42	5	113	53.1%	5.3%	37.2%	4.4%	100.0%
Richland	107	17	78	7	209	51.2%	8.1%	37.3%	3.3%	100.0%
Saluda	19	3	12	0	34	55.9%	8.8%	35.3%	0.0%	100.0%
Spartanburg	117	7	77	14	215	54.4%	3.3%	35.8%	6.5%	100.0%
Sumter	61	7	37	11	116	52.6%	6.0%	31.9%	9.5%	100.0%
Union	15	7	12	2	36	41.7%	19.4%	33.3%	5.6%	100.0%
Williamsburg	25	1	32	9	67	37.3%	1.5%	47.8%	13.4%	100.0%
York	88	10	68	5	171	51.5%	5.8%	39.8%	2.9%	100.0%
TOTAL	2176	208	1882	290	4556	47.8%	4.6%	41.3%	6.4%	100.0%